EU Public Procurement The Revision of The Directives

Professor Christopher H. Bovis

The Porosity of the Public Procurement Directives

Exhaustive harmonisation: the enemy within

The treatment of porosity: the principle of transparency

Review of EU Public Procurement Directives

- Simplification
- Modernisation
- Link with 2020 EU Growth Strategy

What will change?

Codification of administrative laws

- Public sector rules
- Utilities rules
- Remedies

Public sector procurement

- Eligibility of bodies governed by public law to tender
- Joint and Centralized procurement
- Official list of contractors
- The competitive dialogue
- Framework procurement
- Electronic Procurement

Utilities procurement

- Remit and extent of coverage
- Special or Exclusive rights
- Affiliated undertakings
- Competitive markets

Remedies Directive

- Clear divide between pre-contractual and post-contractual stages
- Balance between effective review of public contracts and need of efficient public procurement
- Standstill of contract conclusion, including direct awards
- Communication requirements
- Refocus of Corrective Mechanism
- Repeal of Attestation and Conciliation
- Monitoring requirements
- EEA coverage

What will be achieved?

Simplification

- Dichotomy of public and utilities procurement
- Legal certainty and legitimate expectation
- Legal efficiency
- Compliance discipline

Modernization

- Electronic procurement
- Framework procurement

Flexibility

Disengagement of utilities from procurement rules

Increase Cross-border Competition

- Transparency
- Tradability of public contracts

Facilitation of PPPs

- Concessions
- White Paper on PPP

Price Reduction

EU Directives apply

//<u>-----</u>

- ▶ 10% to 20% lower prices
 - Scale economies
 - Aggregation rules
 - Commercialism of contracting authorities

Themes for Review

- ▶ In– House arrangements
- Sub-dimensional procurement
- Non-priority Service Contracts
- Service Concessions

In-house arrangements

The conditions for inapplicability of public procurement rules C-107/98, *Teckal*

- CA exercises over an entity a control which is similar to that which it exercises over its own departments (control condition)
- that entity carries out the essential part of its activities with the controlling local authority or authorities (activity condition)

The in-house exemption also applies to concessions and Annex B-services

C-458/03, Parking Brixen

C-340/04, Carbotermo

In-house arrangements in procurement

- Dependency and similarity of control
 - C-26/03 Stadt Halle and RPL Lochau [2005] ECR I-1, paragraph 48.
 - C295/05, Asociación Nacional de Empresas Forestales (Asemfo) v Transformación Agraria SA (Tragsa) and Administración del Estado, [2007] ECR I-2999, paragraph 65.
- Public-public cooperation → no similarity of control BUT remit of such relation to deliver a public task or service specified under Community law; no intention to circumvent public procurement rules; not pecuniary contractual relation
 - C-480/06, Commission v Germany, [2009] ECR I-04747
- Inter-municipal co-operative societies whose members are contracting authorities and a jointly controlled entity
 - C-324/07, Coditel Brabant SA v Commune d'Uccle, Région de Bruxelles-Capitale, [2009] 1 CMLR 29.

Sub-dimensional contracts

- Below threshold contracts are excluded from the scope of the public procurement Directives but liable to compliance with the fundamental rules of the Treaty.
 - Transparency
 - Non-discrimination
 - C-324/98 Telaustria and Telefonadress [2000] ECR I-10745, paragraphs 60 and 61.
 - C-59/00 *Vestergaard* [2001] ECR I-9505.
 - C-6/05 Medipac-Kazantzidis AE v Venizelio-Pananio (PE.S.Y. KRITIS), [2007] ECR I-4557.
 - C-231/03 Coname [2005] ECR I-7287, paragraphs 16 and 17.
 - C-458/03 *Parking Brixen* [2005] ECR I-8585, paragraphs 46 to 48.
 - C-264/03 Commission v France [2005] ECR I-8831, paragraph 32.
 - C-147/06 and C-507/03 Commission v Ireland [2007] ECR I-0000, paragraph 29.
 - C-412/04 *Commission* v *Italy* [2008] ECR I-0000, paragraph 65.
 - C-148/06, SECAP SpA and Santorso Soc. coop. arl

CAVFAT

- Certain cross-border interest
 - C-507/03 Commission v Ireland [2007] ECR I-0000, paragraph 29,
 - C-412/04 Commission v Italy [2008] ECR I-0000, paragraph 65.
 - C-220/06, Asociación Profesional de Empresas de Reparto y Manipulado de Correspondencia v Administración General del Estado, [2007] ECR I-12175.

Services in Annex I B of the Public Sector Directive

Requirements

- Define the technical specifications by reference to national standards implementing European standards
- Reference of technical specifications in the general or contractual documents
- Notice of the results of the award procedure to the Publications Office
 - C-507/03, Commission v Ireland, (An Post) [2007] ECR I-9777.
 - C-231/03 Coname [2005] ECR I-7287, paragraph 17.

Caveat

- If certain cross border interest
- Then fundamental EU principles such as transparency and non-discrimination apply

Service concessions as public contracts

- Consideration for the provision of services consists either solely in the right to exploit the service or in that right together with payment
- Concession holder assumes the risk of operating the services in question
 - C-231/03, Consorzio Aziende Metano (Coname). v. Comune di Cingia de' Botti [2005] ECR I-7287.
 - C-382/05 Commission v Italy [2007] ECR I-6657, paragraph 34.
 - C-437/07 Commission v Italy [2008] ECR I-0000, paragraph 29.
 - C-206/08 WAZV Gotha v. Eurawasser Aufbereitungs [2009] ECR I-8377.
- Concession services are excluded from the scope of public procurement Directives
 - C-324/98 Telaustria and Telefonadress, [2000] ECR I-10745.

Caveat

The fundamental principles of the Treaty, in general and, in particular, the principle of non-discrimination on the ground of nationality and the principle of transparency apply

Requirements

- a degree of competitiveness in the award of such contracts
- a review process of the award procedure